

ETHICAL STATEMENT

Ethical Statement of the *Studia Marina* Journal is based on the guidelines and standards developed and published by the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE).

DUTIES OF AUTHORS

All responsibility for the scientific content and statements in an article published in the *Studia Marina* belongs to the authors.

The manuscript has not been published or submitted for publishing elsewhere. If results or part of results were presented on a Symposium/s or a Conference/s it should be noted to Editors during submission process.

Manuscript should be approved by all authors and any conflict of interest (financial or other substantive conflict of interest) must be disclose.

Only those who have given a significant contribution to the manuscript should be listed as authors. If there are others who have participated in some way, but did not give a significant contribution to the manuscript, they should be mentioned in acknowledgement.

Authors should present original work, an accurate account of the performed work with adequate discussion.

Authors may be asked to provide the raw data in connection with a paper for editorial review, and should in any event be prepared to retain such data for a reasonable time after publication.

Authors must cite appropriately others work in the manuscript. Also material such as tables and figures from others work should be used only with permission and adequate acknowledgement. Plagiarism is not acceptable.

In the case that an author identify a significant errors in his/her already published manuscript, his/her duty is to notify the editors and to correct the manuscript or to retract it.

Acknowledgement of the work of others must be given.

If the work involves chemicals, procedures or equipment that have any unusual hazards inherent in their use, the author must clearly identify these in the manuscript. If the work involves the use of animal or human subjects, the author should ensure that the manuscript contains a statement that all procedures were performed in compliance with relevant laws and institutional guidelines and that the appropriate institutional committee(s) has approved them.

Authors should not use Artificial Intelligence (AI) in any part of the manuscript.

DUTIES OF REVIEWERS

Submitted manuscripts are reviewed by at least two referees. Authors should provide names and contact information of potential referees, but Editor-in-Chief and Editorial Board members makes the final decision on the selection of referees. Paper with positive review are accepted for publication, and in case of opposing reviewers opinion, Editor-in-Chief in consultation with Editorial Board, makes final decision about acceptance or rejection of the manuscript.

In case that selected referee who do not have time to review the manuscript in due course or consider himself as not adequate person for review, should note the editors and excuse himself from the process, so other referee can be selected. Additionally, in case of any conflicts of interest, referee should refuse to review the manuscript.

Referees should consider received manuscript as confidential, and should not discuss about it with anyone, except editors. Additionally, referees should not use any part of the manuscript in personal advantage.

Referees should be objective, and should give comments with appropriate arguments.

Referees are obliged to report any observed form of plagiarism to the editor.

DUTIES OF EDITORS

Editor-in-Chief makes final decision about acceptance or rejection of submitted manuscript.

Each decision made by Editor-in-Chief, Technical Editor and Editorial Board members should be objective. Advertising, reprint or other commercial revenue should not have impact or influence on decisions.

The Editor-in-Chief, Technical Editor and Editorial Board members should evaluate manuscripts for their intellectual content without regard to race, gender, sexual orientation, religious belief, ethnic origin, citizenship, or political philosophy of the authors.

The Editor-in-Chief, Technical Editor and Editorial Board members should consider received manuscript as confidential, and should not discuss about it with anyone other than the corresponding author, reviewers, potential reviewers and the publisher.

The Editor-in Chief, Technical Editor and Editorial Board members should not use any part of the submitted manuscript in personal advantage. Privileged information or ideas obtained through peer review must be kept confidential.

In case of any conflicts of interest, the editor should excuse himself from handling the manuscript and spare time to other Editorial Board member.

The Editor-in Chief, Technical Editor and Editorial Board members guard the integrity of the published manuscript. Editors should pursuing suspected or alleged research and publication misconduct.